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Dear Mr Desal.
Thank you for your letter of une 20.
I was pheased to give evidence to the houry and am sure Lord bustice Loveson knows the importance i atach to protecting free speech.

The tweet to which you refer was indecd an accurate refection of my views; "Forgot to tell hleveson that it's unearonable to expect indwiduals to spend fmillons on newspapers and not have acess to polticions."

By "access to polticians", I absolutaky do not imply the opportunity to influence pollcy or make commercial gain.

I have never sought to mfluence government poicy or seek commercial favour in a mecting with a politican; nor have ! had any conversations that \{ wowd describe as inappropnitate.

I do, however, think it reasonable that those who invest mitions of pounds in pubishing emioy one potential beneft of that invertment, which is the chance to meet politicans.

Iouthed the purpose of those meathgs in ryy Witness Statement, and beleve that what matters most about them is not their frequency but the content of the discassions.
 be hugely amaging to the fabric of British society.

In my view it is an unnecessary and disproportionate response to the abuses the inquiry is boking into and would block the perfectly proper interaction between some of the key stakebotders in this country. The proposat wouk be a major dismbentive to those who might fund mewspapers, as wouk the recorbing of those conversations. Given the commerkat pressures on the industry at the monent, \& think such measures undeskable.

Yours sinceraky


Evgeny kebedey

