SLAUGHTER AND MAY

One Bunhill Row London EC1Y 8YY T+44 (0)20 7600 1200 F+44 (0)20 7090 5000

1 March 2011

Your reference

Department for Culture, Media & Sport 2-4 Cockspur Street London SW1 5DH

Our reference BJFL/WHJE Direct line 020 7090 5264

Dear

News Corporation/BSkyB

I write further to our letters of 12 January, 20 January and 9 February. As before, we write on behalf of BT, Guardian Media Group, Associated Newspapers Limited, Trinity Mirror Plc, Northcliffe Media and Telegraph Media Group (together, the "Concerned Parties").

It was reported in the Financial Times on 24 February that News Corporation has offered to divest Sky News as part of a proposed undertaking in lieu of reference to the Competition Commission ("CC").

Our letter of 20 January outlined the key difficulties with any remedy which seeks to divest Sky News on a standalone basis (separated from BSkyB). In particular, our letter outlined the complexities involved in separating the loss-making Sky News from BSkyB and ensuring that the business divested would constitute a viable and independent source of news plurality.

The complex nature of the issues associated with standalone divestment of Sky News mean that such a remedy cannot be characterised as "clear-cut" and therefore could not be an appropriate remedy in the absence of a full investigation by the CC.

Furthermore, the complexity of these issues makes it all the more important that the Secretary of State, the OFT and OFCOM engage with interested third parties (many of whom have relevant sector experience) prior to taking a provisional decision that any proposed undertaking addresses the plurality concerns. Therefore, the Concerned Parties request that:

- The Secretary of State provides an outline of the key features of any remedy proposals that are made by News Corporation;
- The Concerned Parties are given the opportunity to discuss the remedy proposals with the OFT and OFCOM prior to them advising the Secretary of State; and

CFI Saul
SM Edge
SM Edge
SM Edge
SM James
EA Coddington
RMG Goulding
GES Seligman
Pfj Bennett
RM Fox
RM Fox
RJ Thombill
GJ Airs
GJ Airs
CH Aircher
AG Balfour
CM Horton

PP Chappatte
RJN Cripps
P jollife
CO Randell
WSM Robinson
RV Carson
St. Edwards
JM Featherby
F Murphy
PM Otney
PH Stacey
CWY Underhit
OA Wareham
RJ Clark
SJ. Cooke
DJ. Finkler

CW Harvey-Kelly JD Rice MA Whelton MD Bennett RD de Carle 5P Hall WJ Sibree RC Stern JR Triggs EGI Wylde A Beare JD Boyce MEM Hattrell

JM Fenn
AN Hyman
AC Johnson
EF Keeble
KR Davis
SR Galbraith
NDF Gray
MS Hutchinson
SRB Powall
AG Ryde
JAD Marks
SD Warma-kula-suriya
DA Wittmann
TS Boxeli
SJ Luder
AJ McClean

JC Twentyman GN Eaborn HK Griffiths STM Lee AC Cleaver EJD Holden KM Hughes G Iversen DR Johnson RE Levitt S Middlemiss RA Swalkow

B)-P) Louveaux
MS Rowe
MSI Leung
R Doughty
E Michael
RR Ogle
St. Paterson
PC Snell
HJ. Davies
HJ. Putris
RA Sumnoy
CP Brown
EJ Cotton
RJ Tumik

P1 Cronic

MJ Dwyer CNR Jeffs SR Nichols MJ Tobin DG Watkins BKP Yu EC Brown RA Chaplin J Edwarde AD Jolly S Maudeil GE O'Keefe T Phoroah MD Zerdin SFL Cardell RL Cousin BJ Kingstey IAM Taylor DA tves MC Lane LMC Chung RJ Smith

Regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority Firm SRA number 55388

Document number EC 507134493

For Distribution to CPs

SLAUGHTER AND MAY

 The Concerned Parties are given the opportunity to discuss the remedy proposals with the Secretary of State prior to any provisional decision or substantive announcement which he may make on this issue.

Finally, the Concerned Parties would be grateful if you could confirm that the Secretary of State, the OFT and OFCOM will consider the issues outlined in our letter of 20 January when considering whether any proposed undertaking constitutes an effective remedy to the plurality concerns.

Yours sincerely			
Jordan Ellison			
Jordan Ellison			

Copy to: Sheldon Mills (OFT)