11/10 (1) 11	120 P - Runalty Comes Bill
From: Sent: To: Cc:	17 June 2003 20:24  RAMSAY ANDREW; ZEFF JON;
Subject:	mpst.hewitt@ ; Sarah Hunter (E-mail); BUSH BILL; FEENY PADDY Further requests from SoS
Importance:	High

Thanks very much for your various notes on plurality tests, NNP and general duties. SoS has looked at them briefly tonight and we had a discussion during which she asked for more detail:

## General Duties

- She wants to see Clause 3 pulled apart to explain exactly where and how the 'public interest' side of thing is protected.
- Puttnam is now asking for a hierarchy of duties only in relation to broadcast <u>content</u>. How feasible is this? Surely ownership rather than content is Puttnam's real point, and content is protected wherever we talk about it in terms of diversity, standards etc?
- What are the sorts of crunch decisions when OFCOM will be balancing its different duties? How would those decisions be affected by any sort of hierarchy.

She feels all this is necessary for us to build the most convincing and detailed case to put to the Lords.

## **Plurality Test**

That are all the possible options for the scope of a plurality test? (ie one that exists without any rules; that exists on top of rules for all mergers; one that applies only in areas where there are <u>no</u> ownership rules at all; one that applies only where rules have been <u>relaxed</u> from the current position...)

- In all of these scenaris, list the sorts of mergers that would be caught (eg will most local radio deals be inspected?)

- How would the thresholds (ie 25% share of supply or £70m turnover) affect the mergers that will be 1?

caught? hey'd be cargue!

NNP

- How would the investment element of the system work if we dropped ownership rules? Would ther still be a nomination and auction process? If not, where is OFCOM's opportunity to intervene? Will quality and investment become ITV licence conditions instead?

to provide news (even if much by 170). Or cong approves

With apologies, please could you have this stuff ready for tomorrow's box, so we can discuss it at

each impact and (b) enable it to be supposed that 107

## For Distribution to CPs

ursday's meeting.

Thanks

2