

## Exhibit 1

This Exhibit comprises three condensed tables, as per the categories specified, which illustrate interaction between Frederic Michel ("FM") and Adam Smith ("AS") in the period June 2010 – July 2011 through the media of telephone, email and SMS.

### Exhibit Presentation

- Emails are quoted as direct speech and italicised throughout. Each email is marked by subject heading.
- Where an email is part of a chain, the subject heading will be that of the most recent email in the chain.
- Bold lettering is used throughout to emphasise wording which is identical, similar or other coincidences occurring in exchanges between FM and AS which FM purported to be with JH or his team.
- Numbers contained in square brackets relate to the page number of the emails exhibited to KRM 18.
- The time stamps reproduced on a number of the emails exhibited to KRM 18 are inaccurate by exactly one hour. This is due to an error with British Summer Time (BST) configurations on the system from which the emails originated. The emails affected by this inconsistency are marked with an asterisk (\*).
- All data is reproduced in its original format. Any spelling or grammar errors are a product of the original versions of the data.

### Category 1

Entries in this category show that FM replicated the exact or similar wording of AS whilst referring to "JH" or "Jeremy" in his emails to individuals at News Corporation.

### Category 2

Entries in this category shows that FM replicated the exact or similar wording of SMS exchanges with AS in his emails to individuals at News Corporation but referred to exchanges as having been with "JH", "he" or "him".

### Category 3

Entries in this category show calls or references to calls in SMS exchanges between FM and AS which were closely followed by an email sent from FM to individuals at News Corporation. Email entries refer to FM as having "spoken" to, "debriefed", "called" or "caught up" with "JH", "him", "he", "Hunt", or wording to that effect shortly after such exchanges.

**Key to Individuals at News Corporation**

James Murdoch ("**JRM**")

Matthew Anderson ("**MA**")

Jeff Palker ("**JP**")

Alice MacAndrew ("**AM**")

Andrea Appella ("**AA**")

Anil Jhingan ("**AJ**")

**Category 1 – Emails where FM refers to “JH” or similar but in fact the email is sent shortly after he received an identical or similar email from AS**

Emails from Adam Smith to Frederic Michel				Emails from Frederic Michel to individuals at News Corporation		
Date	Time	From/To	Content	Time	From/To	Content
17.02.11	10:36:00	AS/FM	Re: Chris Goodall from Enders on The Media Show <i>"Interesting. More evidence that we need to be strong and confident when we go public"</i> [86]	10:53:05	FM/AM/JRM/JP	Re: Chris Goodall from Enders on The Media Show <i>"Just shared with JH. He thinks it's more evidence that we need to be strong and confident when we go public consultation."</i> [84]
21.04.11	18:01:00	AS/FM	<i>"I disagree. They have been working on the complicated carriage arrangements and brand licensing agreement. You need to answer all their questions quickly to allow them to give Jeremy advice. The only delay will be if they have to keep going back to you again if they don't get satisfactory answers"</i> [123]*	17:39:06	FM/JP/JRM/MA/AA	<i>"Had detailed chat, ran through it all. He basically disagrees with us on this.</i>  <i>Said, like yesterday, that OFT has been working on the "complicated" carriage arrangements and brand licensing agreement.</i>  <i>We need to answer all their questions quickly to allow them to give Jeremy final advice after our meeting begg. may. The only delay will be if they have to keep going back to us again if they don't get satisfactory answers"</i> [122]
07.07.11	13:49:00	AS/FM	<i>"not official. we're not putting a timetable on it. we're just saying we'll consider the responses to the consultation and make a decision when we've done so. nothing more than that."</i> [155]*	13:01:23	FM/JRM/JP MA/AM	<i>"Nothing is official. JH is not putting a timetable on it. He is just saying they will consider the responses to the consultation and make a decision when they've done so. nothing more than that."</i> [154]

**Category 2 – Emails where FM refers to “JH” but in fact the email is sent shortly after he received an identical or similar SMS from AS**

SMS sent between Adam Smith and Frederic Michel				Emails from Frederic Michel to individuals at News Corporation		
Date	Time	From/to	Content	Time	From/to	Content
25.01.11	08:03:07	AS/FM	There's plenty - <b>potential to mitigate problems!</b> We can't say they are too brilliant otherwise people will call for them to be published. Will check on meetings.	08:11:02	FM/JRM	Subject: Confidential – JH  <i>“JH just said there was plenty of support for the remedy in the statement – <b>“potential to mitigate problems”</b>..he can't say they are too brilliant otherwise people will call for them to be published.”</i> [63]
	09:35:37	AS/FM	Other than what jeremy and I have told you! We have no legal wriggle room in a statement to parliament.	10:06:31	FM/JRM	Subject: CONFIDENTIAL  <i>“Just had a chat with JH...He said he had <b>no legal wriggle room in a statement to Parliament</b>; that's it all exactly as he said yesterday...”</i> [64]
	18:40:22	FM/AS	Today went well. Look at the <b>coalition campaign's statement: so weak!</b>	22:50:09	FM/JRM	Subject: JH  <i>“Just had update on today's events with JH... Given <b>the opposition has very few arguments</b> on the impact on media plurality... <b>JH believes we are in a good place tonight</b>. Let's see what the morning's coverage brings.”</i> [66]
	22:26:49	FM/AS	I think we re in a good place tonight no?			
	22:29:06	AS/FM	I agree. Coverage looks ok. Let's look again in the morning though!			
31.01.11	11:40:48	FM/AS	Let me know when you're free to talk. Were heading for the OFT with James at 1pm	13:05:41	FM/JRM	Subject: Debrief from DCMS on OFT/Ofcom meeting  <i>“Got a <b>debrief from DCMS on their short meeting with OFT and Ofcom</b> this morning.”</i>

	12:46:42	AS/FM	<b>I missed most of the meeting but there wasn't much to update on.</b> I'd be more interested in what they say to you as we are now on the back seat in effect.			<p><i>The details of the remedy were not discussed. OFT mentioned to JH they were meeting us this afternoon.</i></p> <p><i>The conversation was solely on how they can set a process and timetable; but also on whether they can both work together [!].</i></p> <p><i>JH asked them to adhere to the timing set out in the terms of reference, i.e. 2 weeks." [67]</i></p>
	12:48:18	FM/AS	Any update on process/timing? Did they express views on our remedy?			
	12:58:55	AS/FM	<b>No view on the remedy just talked about whether they would work with ofcom.</b>			
	13:01:33	FM/AS	Thanks. Can they work with Ofcom? :)			
01.02.11	21:21:26	FM/AS	OFT has asked us to send the business plan of the remedy to Ofcom as they have been asking for it. Not sure why they need it but am ok to share it if it helps. Any strong views?	22:15:09	FM/AA/JRM	<p>Subject: Re: OFT update – Privileged &amp; Confidential</p> <p><i>"I spoke to JH tonight. He doesn't have strong views either way on this but understand our worry vis-a-vis Ofcom's remit..." [69]</i></p>
	21:24:19	AS/FM	<b>I don't have any strong views</b> but if you are happy to do so I would think it can only help.			
02.02.11	11:53:35	AS/FM	This is just what I explained. <b>Offt leading but if they ask for ofcom help they will provide it.</b> I think it is helpful	12:16:35	FM/AA/JRM	<p>Subject: RE: OFT update – Privileged and Confidential</p> <p><i>"Just got news from JH. He confirmed that for him OFT was leading, but if they ask for Ofcom's help, they will provide</i></p>

			to share with ofcom if they have been asked by oft to assist.			it." [70]
04.02.11	17:27:42	FM/AS	Are you <b>able to send me the Enders and Slaughter docs?</b> Wd help me prepare for the public debate. Enjoy golf	17:37:00	FM/JRM	RE: CONFIDENTIAL – JH – PLEASE READ  "He has received enormous pressure from Enders and Slaughter/May to take into consideration their evidence into the OFT process. They both wrote documents against every possible remedy....I am trying to get the docs but it might be difficult...." [71]
	17:30:41	AS/FM	<b>I haven't actually got them at the moment.</b> Officials just told me about them. Don't mention them to anyone like oft etc. <b>If we need them I'll show you.</b>			
09.02.11	14:13:01	AS/FM	Take your stab proof vest with you! <b>Am hoping for an update later on process so will let you know</b> if anything new.	14:26:44	FM/JRM	Subject: JH CONFIDENTIAL  "JH is trying to get further update by end of today on process, and hopefully also on OFT's feedback" [75]
15.02.11	21:47:19	FM/AS	Ignore my voicemail. Just understood the <b>Oft issue</b> . I think we are in good place	22:35:21	FM/AA/JRM	Subject: Re: Confidential: Letter from Jeremy Hunt to James Murdoch  "On <b>10 years term</b> , after an exchange with Jeff, I asked JH tonight. He <b>confirmed it was not an issue</b> but was <b>happy to clarify in the morning...</b> " [80]
	21:50:21	AS/FM	<b>Ofcom answer the ten year issue. Let's chat tomorrow for clarification though.</b>			
	22:01:39	FM/AS	<b>We need to talk properly tomorrow</b> through all the different areas to plan. Looks like we are nearly there!			

24.02.11	08:25:54	AS/FM	They said this was a <b>promising basis from which to work</b> in their advice to JH. <b>Not quite complete acceptance</b> so I guess that's why they are looking for <b>confirmation on some things</b> .	09:21:53	FM/JRM/JP/MA	Subject JH confidential  "Just observed that Ofcom in their letter to him said our proposal was a <b>promising basis from which to work but not quite complete acceptances</b> . Hence why <b>they're looking for confirmation on some things</b> before giving final advice...and <b>will call back later this morning</b> ." [93]
	09:06:46	FM/AS	Some things? Have you seen the list??? <b>Let me know JH's view before 11am</b> when I speak to James please. Important call	11:25:00	FM/JRM	Subject: Re:JH confidential  "JH just said, after a long talk, <b>he will still go with what Ofcom recommends...</b> " [95]
	10:13:59	AS/FM	<b>We will still go with whatever ofcom recommends.</b>	10:50:08	FM/JRM	Subject: Re: JH confidential  "JH just texted that he <b>can't interfere with the process but can give us more time to sort things out</b> . He <b>can't engage with substance whilst Ofcom is working with us</b> ." [94]
	10:43:05	AS/FM	We can't interfere with the process really. We can give more time but not deal with substance whilst they are working with you.			
03.03.11	10:43:16	AS/FM	<b>Oral statement confirmed for 1500</b> . I am actually off to Rome on a 1655 flight so may not be around. I feel my work is nearly done.	10:49:11	FM/JRM	Subject: Quick update JH and co  " <b>Formal statement by JH is scheduled for 15:00</b> . Just been confirmed." [104]
23.03.11	20:04:49	FM/AS	When are you <b>seeing media coalition</b> ? I am finalising private memo for you. Good	20:47:30	FM/JRM/MA/JP/AA	Subject: JH- confidential  " <b>JH will be meeting media coalition tomorrow</b> . I ran him

			budget today			<i>through our key arguments this evening and we will do another briefing in the morning.</i> " [109]
	20:26:36	AS/FM	<b>Tomorrow afternoon.</b>			
	20:55:39	FM/AS	Ok <b>will send you a doc.</b> Have contracts gone to OFT?			
30.03.11	13:08:01	FM/AS	Did Jeremy just said on media show that <b>decision unlikely before 26th April?</b>	15:27:37	FM/JRM	Subject: JH – CONFIDENTIAL  <i>"Just spoke to JH. He confirmed that the process will not be finalised before mid-April given the demands for details from OFT and Ofcom.  He said it was "weeks away"."</i> [114]
	15:21:43	AS/FM	It will definitely be <b>weeks away</b> yes.			
19.04.11	09:34:16	FM/AS	Hi. Any news <b>re-timetable?</b> Fred	17:39:06	FM/JP/JRM MA/AA	Subject: JH confidential  <i>"Following our numerous discussions over last few days, JH is now meeting officials specifically on the timetable this afternoon to push ahead quicker."</i> [121]
	09:38:42	AS/FM	I've got <b>jh meeting officials on it this afternoon to push ahead quicker.</b> Will let you know how we get on.			
20.05.11	07:42:21	FM/AS	You could have warned me about yesterday's speech!!!	08:43:53	FM/JRM	Subject: FW: JH – confidential  <i>"JH told me this morning that his remarks to journalists [it wasn't a speech] last night should not surprise us and he has to say that it will take as long as needed."  I challenged him on the timetable and he said again that he thought it would be done by 24<sup>th</sup> June, but it's not something he could tell journalists."</i> [137]
	08:13:07	AS/FM	<b>It wasn't a speech.</b> It was one remark to journalists and <b>doesn't say anything different</b> to what I've said to you. <b>Will take as long as it takes</b> and we need to get it right!			
	08:19:57	FM/AS	<b>You did tell me by 24th June...</b> I might need JRM to			



			call JH. let's discuss			
	08:21:24	AS/FM	<b>And that hasn't changed. But we can't tell journalists that can we!</b>			
02.06.11	13:37:21	AS/FM	<b>Over the last few days I hve been causing a lot of chaos and moaning from people here on your behalf. I should have an update later today.</b>	13:41:34	FM/MA/JP/AA	Subject: JH confidential  "JH just called. He said that <b>over the last few days he has been causing a lot of chaos and moaning from people at DCMS on our behalf. I should have an update later today.</b> " [139]
06.06.11	18:18:51	AS/FM	I haven't got an answer yet so nothing really to add. Didn't get a chance to raise it in ofcom meeting. Am getting an answer tomorrow.	20:55:53	FM/JRM	Subject: JH – confidential  "As discussed, I just had a very strong conversation with JH and <b>explained we had now no intention of engaging further in anymore commercial negotiations with OFT or Ofcom and had reached a very delicate stage. I also insisted he needed to get a grip of Ofcom/Ed's influence over the Pinsent's review and the timetable for the weeks ahead. I also floated the threat that if this were to go on for more weeks, we could decide at any moment to withdraw. He listened, said...a threat to withdraw would not influence his views or judgment.</b> " [142]
	18:30:22	FM/AS	JH needs to get some answers from Pinsent asap. <b>James is not making anymore commercial concessions.</b> We are through with it. Might even <b>exit the process if consultation doesn't take place next week.</b> Very serious. Fred			
	18:57:57	AS/FM	That's your call obviously and <b>not something that would change jeremys thinking.</b> I will let you know where I get			

			too tomorrow.			
08.06.11	19:20:49	FM/AS	<p>We have <b>finally heard back from DCMS with Pinsent's comments</b> on the Carriage and Brand Licence Agreements.</p> <p>The <b>mark-ups are very light - aside from again begging the question why it has taken them so long to respond, the positive news is that there are no significant new asks. There are still a handful of points on which we will have to push back or vary Pinsents changes, but these are relatively minor</b> and therefore ought to be straightforward to resolve.</p> <p>I hope to revert to DCMS within the day.</p> <p>Fred</p>	19:35:01	FM/AJ/JRM MA/JP/AA	<p>Subject: Re: Rubicon – DCMS/Pinsent review</p> <p><i>"I have just updated JH on substance. Still on track for a consultation to be triggered sometime next week if we move forward rapidly with DCMS" [145]</i></p>
	19:29:31	AS/FM	Good stuff. <b>Still on track then</b>			
	19:31:01	FM/AS	Getting there I think... <b>if things move forward rapidly, consultation could even be triggered some time next</b>			

			week...			
30.06.11	07:48:16	FM/AS	Just showed to Rupert! Great statement by the way! <b>We need to knock Avaaz down.</b> They are all about US Democrats politics	21:00:11	FM/JRM	Subject: JH debrief  "Had a debrief with JH and his team tonight at 7pm before he left to his constituency. <b>He is very happy with the way today went</b> and especially with the absolutely idiotic debates led by Watson and Prescott. Moreover Labour's Ivan Lewis made very helpful statements throughout and the <b>strength of the UIL has been widely endorsed.</b> Key now for him is to find a way to <b>weaken Avaaz campaign's arguments.</b> " [151]
	08:37:20	AS/FM	Ivan and tom have asked for an urgent question. Find out soon if speaker permits.	12:05:31	FM/JRM	Subject: JH_confidential  "JH just told me that he was very keen to make the final decision before the 18 <sup>th</sup> July.  <b>If needed his clearance CAN be made during recess but he is very keen to make it before that.</b> " [152]
	08:42:42	FM/AS	Thanks a lot. Let me know..			
	08:52:58	AS/FM	Tom Watson question at 1030.			
	09:00:18	AS/FM	1130			
	11:15:03	FM/AS	Solid performance! Ivan Lewis was harmless and Watson was....Watson. <b>Nothing challenging the UIL really.</b> Can a decision be made during recess? Let me know if you need an update on phone-hacking stuff. Fred			
	11:25:52	FM/AS	Lords at 12.45			

	11:56:32	AS/FM	<b>It can be made in recess. Jeremy keen to do it before if possible though.</b> Thought they were all predictable and jeremy dealt pretty easily with them.			
	20:48:52	FM/AS	Think we are in a good place no?			
	20:50:34	AS/FM	<b>Very yes. Jeremy happy.</b>			
07.07.11	07:13:12	FM/AS	Key is to brief that there is no link, the consultation is on a very narrow element (monitoring trustee) and that both OFT and OCOM's advice opined.	12:11:20	FM/AM/JP AA/JRM	Subject: RE: ben fenton  <i>"Spoke to JH. Very important to <b>keep same briefing lines as discussed and insist on the plurality issue.</b> They are going very strong with jourmos today on the strength of UIL and approval by Ofcom/Of." [153]</i>
	11:21:07	FM/AS	We are going to take some important steps today. I will call you about it. It would be helpful if we could <b>both keep the same briefing line for the consultation</b> process on " no link and very specific legal decision". Fred			
	11:33:41	AS/FM	We definitely are doing. <b>V strong that this is about plurality.</b>			
08.07.11	10:06:15	FM/AS	Does this mean <b>you want to</b>	10:56:09	FM/JRM	Subject: JH TO SEND SKY DEAL TO OFCOM – TAKING

			<b>send it to ofcom?</b>			ADVICE
	10:50:12	AS/FM	Not sure yet. <b>Getting advice etc together.</b>			<i>"JH just told me he might send the deal to Ofcom again to reassess its opinion.</i>
	10:56:42	FM/AS	So I <b>guess you will send it again to Ofcom ;)</b>			<i>He is <b>getting legal advice together</b> as we speak." [159]</i>

**Category 3 – Emails where FM refers to “JH” or similar where it appears that shortly before he had had interaction with AS by SMS or telephone**

SMS/Calls between Frederic Michel and Adam Smith					Emails from Frederic Michel to individuals at News Corporation		
Date	Time	From/To	Content	SMS/Call	Time	From/To	Content
14.01.11	12:46:23	FM/AS	Can we speak this afternoon? Fred	SMS	18:18:35	FM/AS	Subject: RE: As Discussed
	12:46:35	AS/FM	Sure. I'm just going to a lunch but should be around at 1500.	SMS		AS/FM	"Thanks Adam."
	14:33:38	FM/AS	Can we speak after 5pm? Very important	SMS			Subject: As discussed
	14:54:03	AS/FM	Of course. Call me when you are ready.	SMS			"Thank you for getting in touch about the News Corp/BskyB transaction. I thought it would be helpful if I clarified the process that Jeremy Hunt is going through." [48]
	14:55:47	FM/AS	Thanks a lot	SMS			
17.01.11	08:16:33	FM/AS	Hello! Let me know when we can catch up. We are finalising the undertaking proposal today. Warm regards. Fredp.	SMS	19:19:15		FM/AA/JRM
	18:49:31	FM/AS	Dialled for 00:16:24	Call		"Just spoke to JH. Will report separately." [50]	
22.01.11	17:59:09	AS/FM	Dialled for 00:17:00	Call	08:59:44	FM/MA/JP/AA	Subject: update – confidential.
23.01.11							"He still wants to stick to the following plan... He predicts it should all be done by mid-Feb.... His view is that once he announce publicly he has a strong UIL, it's almost game over for the

							<p>opposition.</p> <p><b>He very specifically said that he was keen to get to the same outcome and wanted JRM to understand he needs to build some political cover on the process.</b> [51]</p>
23.01.11	11:30:55	FM/AS	Hi Adam. <b>Can we catch-up later today?</b> Fred	SMS	20:39:07	FM/JRM	<p>Subject: CONFIDENTIAL – UPDATE – CONFIDENTIAL</p> <p><b>"I had a very constructive conversation with JH tonight. Please read all the below."</b> [52]</p>
	18:39:53	AS/FM	Dialled for <b>00:18:50</b>	Call			
	19:24:53	FM/AS	<b>Thanks for the call today</b>	SMS			
24.01.11	12:13:36	FM/AS	Dialled for <b>00:00:18</b>	Call	18:00:58	FM/JRM	<p>Subject: RE: CONFIDENTIAL – JH STATEMENT</p> <p><i>"At the end, JH will indicate..</i></p> <p>Subject: CONFIDENTIAL – JH STATEMENT</p> <p><b>"Managed to get some infos on the plans for tomorrow [although absolutely illegal...&gt;]"</b></p> <p><i>JH will announce that..."</i> [54]</p>
	12:21:43	FM/AS	<b>Please give me a can when suitable.</b> Fred	SMS			
	17:50:31	FM/AS	Dialled for <b>00:04:06</b>	Call			
	19:03:02	FM/AS	<b>Thanks for your patience today...</b>	SMS			
	21:31:28	FM/AS	Are you also publishing the Slaughters and May opinion tomorrow?	SMS			
	21:51:35	AS/FM	No	SMS			
	21:52:21	FM/AS	Thanks. Spoke to Stuart as well who called to confirm tomorrow. Said he will send statement before this released at 7.30.	SMS			
					19:02:30	FM/JRM/ MA/AA/JP	<p>Subject:</p> <p><b>"I had a chat with him re-timing between tomorrow's statement and the consultation launch. They will ask OFT for advice asap tomorrow and hope to have a speedy feedback."</b> [56]</p>

25.01.11	15:28:01	AS/FM	Dialled for <b>00:04:55</b>	Call	17:43:26	FM/JRM	Subject: CONFIDENTIAL – JH UPDATE  "JH is getting advice from Jon Zeff on the process going forward as we speak." [65]
31.01.11	16:41:20	FM/AS	Shall we speak at 5.30? I	SMS	18:08:50	FM/JRM/JP/AA	Subject: Feedback DCMS - confidential  "- OFT is in the driving seat for the process over the next two weeks... - Ofcom has only been asked to opine on plurality concerns... - 2 weeks deadline- friday next week- for both... - Steve Hunger is leading the Ofcom team..." [68]
09.02.11	16:52:35	FM/AS	Bad news from Ofcom. We need to talk	SMS	19:24:52	FM/JRM	Subject: CONFIDENTIAL – JH – CONFIDENTIAL  "...I have managed to get JH quickly before he went in to see Swan Lake...and have further chat..." [76]
	16:56:39	AS/FM	Not heard anything other than our internal process. That's all rather dependent on what the reports say. I'm around if you want to ring.	SMS			
	16:59:37	FM/AS	We have a problem. Just got ofcom feedback. <b>Will call you</b>	SMS			
	17:32:00	AS/FM	<b>Will call asap.</b>	SMS			
10.02.11	11:09:57	FM/AS	<b>Will call in 2</b>	SMS	11:46:50	FM/JRM/MA/JP	Subject: Re: Media Guardian on JH  "Just had a <b>strong and long exchange</b> with him again now" [77]
11.02.11	09:48:09	AS/FM	About to head into a meeting. Should be out at half ten.	SMS	18:47:58	FM/JRM	Subject: CONFIDENTIAL – URGENT – CONFIDENTIAL – URGENT  "JH called:
	10:14:20	FM/AS	<b>Will call you</b> around 11.30/12	SMS			



							– he now knows what OFCOM and OFT will send him tonight: both will recommend he refers to CC" [79]
18.02.11	13:13:24	FM/AS	<b>We catch up quickly This afternoon?</b>	SMS	19:35:25	FM/JRM/JP/ AA/MA	Re: Confidential – JH "JH will instruct Jon Zeff to call OFT. Jon will ask them to ignore the 10-year carriage item and focus on with clearing the UIL mark-up asap." [88]
23.02.11	17:06:04	FM/AS	<b>Call me URGENT</b>	SMS	17:38:22	FM/JP/JRM	Subject: Re: Important "Jeff: <b>just debriefed JH</b> . He is not impressed. He is going to speak to Jon Zeff and see..." [91]
10.03.11	11:16:55	FM/AS	Will call at <b>12.15</b>	SMS	15:14:57	FM/JRM/ MA/JP/AA	Subject: JH-confidential "Had 1 hour catch-up today. Overall, he believes the debate is extremely quiet and lacks arguments..." [107]
	12:13:59	FM/AS	<b>Call me when Free</b>	SMS			
	15:23:15	FM/AS	<b>Thanks for catch up</b> . Speak tomorrow afternoon	SMS			
22.03.11	12:11:16	FM/AS	Thanks Adam. Helpful indeed. When shall we catch up?	SMS	18:35:00	FM/JRM	Subject: Re: JH – CONFIDENTIAL – PLEASE READ " <b>Very long call</b> as there is Budget tomorrow and lots happening around the Creative Industry agenda." [110]
	21:09:46	FM/AS	<b>Thanks for the catch -up</b> . Given election timing, might be good to get OFT to proceed speedily :)	SMS			
24.03.11	07:55:57	FM/AS	<b>Memo will be with you by 9am</b>	SMS	08:46:36	FM/AA/JRM MA/JP	Subject: RE: JH – confidential " <b>Just ran JH through</b> it before today's oral questions in Parliament and this afternoon's meeting with the media coalition" [112]
	07:56:49	AS/FM	Many thanks!	SMS			
	07:57:37	FM/AS	I think you and JH will find it useful	SMS			

31.03.11	08:17:46	FM/AS	I call you at 10am? See FT frontpage	SMS	15:02:20	FM/JRM	Subject: JH – UPDATE – CONFIDENTIAL  <i>"Just spoke to JH. He is absolutely open to the possibility of getting things done before mid-April if we can work things out with OFT speedily... He has asked me to keep him informed on a daily basis re-our discussions with OFT so he can push them if needed..."</i> [115]
	08:18:22	AS/FM	Am in meetings until about 1130	SMS			
	08:19:09	FM/AS	Free now? Otherwise 2pm?	SMS			
	08:19:39	AS/FM	In one now. 1500?	SMS			
	08:19:49	FM/AS	Perfect	SMS			
05.05.11	19:45:21	FM/AS	Good meeting with OFT/OFCOM. we speak at 11am?	SMS	11:47:46	FM/JRM	Subject: JH – CONFIDENTIAL  <i>"Debriefed JH. Very encouraged by yesterday's OFT/Ofcom meeting and grateful for concessions made... Asked me to inform him later..."</i> [126]
06.05.11	19:46:45	AS/FM	Great. Just after 11am would be good. Got a meeting that might run on to about then.				
17.05.11	09:36:32	FM/AS	Will you be able to send me Hargreaves soon? Let me know any news on the timeline/ progress for Sky please. Fred	SMS	19:01:43	FM/JRM	Subject: JH confidential  <i>"Further to his call with him one hour ago, JH just called back. He said he might give you a call in the coming days. He understands our frustration on the process."</i> [136]
	16:06:39	AS/FM	Sent it. Did you get it?	SMS			
	16:16:20	FM/AS	Got it! Need to talk to you re-sky asap	SMS			

03.06.11	10:29:40	FM/AS	<b>Waited for your call. Let's speak in 1 hour.</b> We really need to speak before mid- afternoon given what's going on. Fred	SMS	13:13:50	FM/JRM	Subject: JH – confidential  <b><i>"Had conversations with him today.</i></b>  <i>Overall there is a clear blame-game going on regarding the delay between lawyers, us and Ofcom. Everyone is getting very heated..." [140]</i>
11.07.07	06:29:39	AS/FM	<b>Ring me when you can.</b>	SMS	11:00:32	FM/JRM/ MA/JP	Subject: Hunt on JRM  <b><i>"I spoke to Hunt and I can predict that he will send the bid to the Competition Commission..."</i></b>  <i>I also believe his letter to Ofcom and JRM was very much written in consultation with Ofcom."</i> [160]
	06:46:21	FM/AS	<b>Thank you for the call.</b> Am with James now	SMS			
	07:03:41	AS/FM	Emailed draft letters including one to James explaining where we are.	SMS			
	07:33:11	FM/AS	Got it	SMS			