For Distribution to CPs

FILE NOTE				
FILE NO:				
DATED:	24 March 2005			
SUBJECT: Operation Motorman				
•	call in from Bernard Thorogo		_	
telephoned. He	stated that he had spoken to	0	PJT stated	
that he had spo	ken to and that	it we had obviously l	had cross-	
level talks proba	ably much at the same time.			
will plead guilty been reckless. he feels that it d to prosecute the	he impression he had been go to Data Protection Act Offen BT stated that from his perso loes not necessarily give us to e other people off the back of	ces on the grounds opective whilst this is the perfect platform from the whittamore's convi	a good result that we need ction.	
BT stated that	has said that Whittamore	, , ,		
	rged by the Information Com			
	Blade in respect of DP offenc	•	•	
set of proceedir	ne same time, and the whole ngs.	thing can be wrappe	ed up in one	
	he view of the Crown Prosec fences for a number of reaso		they wish to	
Firstly, they hav	e had pleas from the main pe	eople in respect of tl	he Police	
·	iter offences therefore Whitta	•		
and purposes th	neir tail end Charley. This dif	fers from our case v	vhere	
Whittamore is e	ffectively top jockey.			

For Distribution to CPs

Further the CPS are not certain whether or not they can get home with a conviction on the misconduct offences or even necessarily on the reckless DP offences, therefore they see his pleas as being attractive on the DP offences.

The other issue that the CPS have in relation to Whittamore is that he has served evidence from a QC and a Flying Squad detective in respect of character evidence and the evidence that they have leads them to be suspicious of not getting home on a Misconduct or Data Protection Act offence because the character evidence they have would naturally differ from the emphasis that we have from our case that Whittamore was up to his neck in the conspiracy.

Because Whittamore wants to plead to the entirety would like to be in a position to put our case summary to Whittamore so that he could then see the element of the ICO case against him, and that what the Crown could contemplate doing is adjourning their case, and in particular the sentencing of Whittamore, to marry up with our case for sentence.

The complication to this is that their trial date is 11 April and the CPS in respect of this do feel somewhat over a barrel from Whittamore.

However, BT stated that he is not sure that Whittamore would plead guilty to our charges and that in particular Whittamore's offer on Glade appears to be on the basis of "reckless" and also to substantive offences.

BT stated that if we go with conspiracy then we face three issues:-

- 1. The defendants may offer to enter pleas to substantive only offences.
- 2. The prosecution in Glade will begin and they could then put pressure on us to go with the flow on the "reckless" element.
- 3. If we happen by coincidence to be ready to issue then we would then just need to check the position with regard to the other cases that our

For Distribution to CPs

commencement is not going to cause problems down in Exeter on the Devon and Cornwall case.

However, BT stated that from his perspective he feels that this case is ripe for confiscation proceedings and he would invite the Court to make an appropriate application. However, the issue on this is that to bring the confiscation proceedings we would need to have the appropriate reports made and we may in view of this need to invite a free standing confiscation body, for example possibly the DTI to do the appropriate reports rather than tasking it to our Investigations Department.

However, BT stated that he feels certain that the Judge would be with us in respect of the assumptions that go with confiscation proceedings.

BT therefore took the view that having now had assurances that our case does not prejudice Glade or Reproof, our decision is to issue and this will in some respects force the issue for the London case, however, BT still feels that we will not necessarily be able to give the CPS the joy that they want and BT still feels that Whittamore will run a mile when he sees our allegations. However, it would be superb if we are in a position to issue immediately.

BT stated that he wished to call back		rior to her	going into
conference to pass on the following to			

- 1. We are in a position to issue.
- 2. Our disclosure officers need to meet as soon as possible.
- 3. We will be alleging conspiracy proved by reference to specific events.
- 4. It is enormously attractive to us with our case being dealt with in respect of Whittamore in this fashion.

PJT confirmed that BT had the authority to say that to	
--	--